

Tribal and Corporation Consultation Record

Tribal/ANC Entity:	CIRI & Chugach AK Corporation	Tribal /ANC Participant Name(s):	<u>See Below</u>
Project/Issue/Topic	Roadless 2018		
Meeting Location:	<u>Anchorage, AK</u>	Date/Time:	<u>8/24/18 10AM</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Tribe	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Corporation	<input type="checkbox"/> Other:	
Type of Contact:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Meeting (<u>Consultation: Govt-to-corp</u>)	Title: _____		
<input type="checkbox"/> Phone Call	Phone Number: _____		
<input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____	Email Address: _____		

Please consult the instructions before completing the following sections. There are no character limitations; please continue on a separate sheet if necessary.

☐ YES, the tribal participant was informed that the comments and contact information recorded on this form will be part of the public record.

ANC Participants :

Jason Brune, CIRI's Land & Resources Dept Barbara Donatello, CIRI Senior Vice President Greg Razo, CIRI Vice President - Government Relations

Gabe Kompkoff, CAC CEO

Josie Hickel, CAC President, Chugach Commercial Holdings Peter Anderson, CAC David Phillips, CAC Lands & Resource Mgr Ben - CAC intern

Phil Blumstein, Represents CAC, attorney with Landye, Bennett, Blumstein LLP Andy Ericsson, attorney with Blumstein

US Forest Service: Chad VanOrmer (Budget and Planning Director), Terri Marceron (CNF Supervisor), Melinda Hernandez Burke (RO Tribal Relations)

Discussion Items:

- The corporations inquired as to why the second letter clarifying scope of the rulemaking effort as only to the TNF. Chad explained the history of roadless, where we are today, and details of the state petition. Melinda touched on the intent of Letter #1 inviting consultation and early notification to ensure early and often communication/consultation and the intent. Answered questions about cooperating agency status eligibility by ANC's.
- The corporations expressed concerns about excluding the Chugach, including impact to: land access, renewable energy, mining, timber harvest, etc.
- Concerns expressed about not being consulted pre-petition by the state. *follow-up requested*

Action Items:

If a follow-up meeting/visit is scheduled, document the purpose, with whom, the date, the time, and the location:

*Requested follow-up documents (Chad will provide):

1. Petition from Governor Walker to Secretary Perdue
2. Response from Secretary Perdue to Governor Walker

*Completed
8/27/15
Email
CVO*

Other parties present (consider using a sign-in sheet to capture the names, titles & contact information for attendees):

- ☐ A copy of this document has been provided to the Tribe/Alaska Native Corporation/Tribal entity. Identify the individual at the Tribe/Corporation/Tribal entity who received the form and the date the document was conveyed.

Name of Line/Staff/
Responsible Official: _____

Person entering
into database: Melinda Hernandez Burke

Title: _____

Unit: _____

Date: _____

X _____

Line/Staff/Responsible Official

Purpose of Consultation:

- ☐ Government-to-Government (EO 13175)
- ☐ Information Sharing
- ☐ NHPA (PL 102-575)
- ☐ NAGPRA (PL 101-601)
- ☐ Alaska Native Corporation (PL 108-447)
- ☐ NEPA (PL 91-190)
- ☐ Title VIII, ANILCA (PL 96-487)
- ☒ Government-to-Corporation, ANCSA
- ☐ 14(h)(1) Historic Site(s) Reburial ARPA

CAC

Jose H - Pres.

Peter Anderson VP Ops

Gabe Compas CEO

CIRI → Gary Ray is
Dariusz Dmochowski

Andy Eric J. Bruni

Phil

Dave Phillips CAC (Land)

Comments continued: Ben - apprentice.

Roadless Rule: letter 1 vs. letter 2 → process of why the 2nd letter clarifying scope only to Tongass. Chad explained history of roadless rule to 2001 2003: exempt Tongass. Explained where we are today.

April - Sec 5 accepts petition -- Rule-making + State petition explained.

Questions asked +

* clarity sought in language of 2nd letter - seemed decisive.

1 day after the letter went out to CAC + CIRI, Mona clarified

the scope. *? Who from State requested State focus on TNF only? Chris Maesch.

2-year process is the intent of the Sec's: EIS, etc. An advisory group

will be formed by the State

* concern: Expecting consultation before decision to exclude the Chugach area.

Feedback: "impact is (access to lands, non-energy, mining, timber harvest)

there by not being included on petition?

- they were surprised at not being consulted by the State

- controversy driving the Tongass?? - we could do that, but not bearing to our relationship.

Action items continued:

- ANC's have as much land as Federal lands w/in the CNF.

~~CIRI~~

* Where is state petition? They have looked + have not seen a copy.

* DEC that shows acceptance of the petition? Chad:

direction for USFS has been verbal, no written. Tongass has been focus + controversy for several years.

~~CIRI~~

Chad: would like to learn more about interests.

↳ response: we have submitted commentary + maps in the past, during original roadless rulemaking.

↳ Impacts lands are selected for our shareholders - limiting economic devel. opps. NO roads to resources exist.

↳ Public Perception - companies unwilling to develop here due to Roadless.

↳ management of land - pt. Gravina negotiations

Comments continued:

Q: * Can there be C-Agency status for ANC's? - we answered
↳ follow up: APA? Roadless State petition. Chad followed up, but question asked about who can submit similar petitions.

Phil - feels like "Chugach, 99% roadless, so lets go carve up the Tongass".

Troubled by not being @ the table when Chugach exclusion was made.

A: State petition - they should be asked why

Action items continued:

Please Provide:

- Summary or Petition from state ?
 - Letter response to state of AK.
- } TO who?
} TO term, ✓